

Please mark this submission for each of the dimensions below using the following scale:

**1-4 scale where**

- 1 = very weak
- 2 = weak
- 3 = average
- 4 = very strong

**Significance of Content to the Field**

**Weak (1)**

The proposal does not offer information of significance. It does not add or reinforce existing and/or new issues of importance in the field.

**Present but Weak (2)**

The proposal offers some information of significance but does not add anything new or reinforcing to the field.

**Average (3)**

The proposal offers information of significance that reinforces current information in the field but does not add anything new.

**Very Strong (4)**

The proposal provides information that is significant to the field and can improve practice. The topic is timely, and supports the mission of APBS.

**Significance of Content to the Field**

**Conceptual or Empirical Strength**

**Weak (1)**

The proposal is not based on any sound research, knowledge, theory, and/or practice in the field and/or does not provide sufficient information to give it a higher score.

**Present but Weak (2)**

The proposal offers vague or ambiguous information on the research, knowledge, theory, and/or practice upon which it is based.

**Average (3)**

The proposal is based on sound research, knowledge, theory, and/or practice in the field but it may not be current.

**Very Strong (4)**

The proposal is based on sound and current research, knowledge, theory, and/or practice in the field.

**Conceptual or Empirical Strength**

**Clarity of Proposal**

**Weak (1)**

The proposal content fails to describe exactly what will be presented and/or how it will be presented. The objectives are not appropriate and/or the content does not describe how the objectives will be achieved.

**Present but Weak (2)**

The proposal offers vague or ambiguous descriptions of what will be presented and how it will be presented. Only some of the objectives are appropriate and it is unclear how they will be achieved.

**Average (3)**

The proposal generally describes what will be presented and how it will be presented. The participant objectives are appropriate but it is not clear how all will be achieved.

**Very Strong (4)**

The proposal content is explicit and it is clear exactly what will be presented and how it will be presented. The participant objectives are clear and are aligned with the content and abstract. The content explains how each of the objectives will be achieved.

**Clarity of Proposal****Total****Definitions:**

**Significance**—extent to which the proposal offers relevant content that would be considered important to the field of PBS

**Conceptual or Empirical Strength**—If the proposal is conceptual, the information to be presented is sound (i.e., related to a theory or evidence); if proposal is empirical, it includes strong and appropriate methodology and conclusions appropriate for methods.

**Clarity of Proposal**—the information presented in the proposal is clear so that it is understood what will be presented and how it will be presented. The identified objectives of the proposal and the narrative match.

This abstract is suitable for Oral presentation

I have not graded this abstract because I have a conflict of interest

**Additional comments**

[Cancel] [Submit]

**Additional information from Oxford:** *The reviewing system will not average all the scores given by each reviewer, but will average the scores for each reviewer per submission - this should be a much more useful figure.*

Thus each reviewer's total score will be out of 12...